

TENTH CAVALRY ARRIVES

Colored Troops Who Fought Nobly at Santiago.

PRESIDENT REVIEWS THEM

A Regiment Which Took a conspicuous Part in Suppressing Indian Uprisings—Has Distinguished Itself on Numerous Occasions—Received Honorable Mention in the War Against Spain, From Which It is Returning—The March Up the Avenue.

The Tenth Colored Cavalry, the gallant Tenth, with a glorious record, extending from its organization in 1862 to its participation in the hard-fought Cuban campaign, was welcomed to Washington today. The troops were cheered, they were paraded, and the President of the United States and other distinguished Americans reviewed the black boys in blue as they marched with the swing of veterans beneath the alabaster White House portico.

The movements were thronged with citizens to bid them welcome. Flaps were waved, cheers given and ladies fluttered their handkerchiefs as the troops strode along with regular military precision over the concrete roadways.

"Hurrah for the gallant Tenth," "There goes the colored boys who saved the Rough Riders," "Brave boys in black," "These and similar exclamations greeted the colored cavalrymen—the heroes of Shiloh and San Juan Hill—as they passed in review before President and populace.

Following is a roster of the officers: Lieut. Col. T. A. Baldwin, commanding; Major J. M. Kelley, Major J. L. Fowler, Capt. Guy Carleton, Capt. W. E. Almy, Capt. S. L. Woodward, Capt. Levi P. Hunt, First Lieut. J. W. Watson, First Lieut. S. D. Freeman, First Lieut. M. H. Barnum, First Lieut. W. H. Hay, Second Lieut. H. B. Dixon, Second Lieut. Paul Reisinger, Second Lieut. H. C. Whitehead, Second Lieut. T. A. Roberts, and Second Lieut. F. B. McCoy.

Contrary to custom, while the troops passed in review before the President, Col. Baldwin was made to stand in the place of honor between the President and the Secretary of War. His post would have been to the left of the Secretary.

Cheered and Applauded. All along the line of march the colored troops were cheered and applauded with hand clapping, while at the Treasury building and in front of the White House the greatest enthusiasm was manifested.

The soldiers looked heavily and every man of them had a steady tread and martial bearing. The men shouldered short cavalry rifles, and most of the belts were stuck full of cartridges. The regimental colors and markers' flags were ragged and faded, attesting testimony to the hard service of the regiment.

The Tenth Cavalry came from Montauk Point, L. I., where it has been in camp since August 29, the date of their return from Santiago. The command is en route to Huntsville, Ala., where it will go into camp until time for its departure to Cuba.

The regiment reached Washington on a special train at 5:30 o'clock this morning. The train was sidetracked at Maryland Avenue and Ninth Street, and the troops were given a substantial breakfast at Potomac Hall, Maryland Avenue and Eleventh Street.

Parade. The parade through the city was headed by a squad of mounted policemen. They were followed by a committee acting as escort, consisting of Major Charles R. Douglas, Rev. J. Albert Johnson, L. C. Bailey, R. W. Thompson, J. W. Cole, Perry Carson, Lewis H. Douglas, Robert H. Terrell, P. H. S. Planchard, Whitefield W. C. Thoms, H. E. Cooper, Major Arthur Brooks, E. M. Hewlett, D. B. McCarty and Col. James Lewis. The colored population was out in full force to welcome the warriors.

Col. Baldwin asked Secretary Alger this question: "When shall I leave for Huntsville?" The official head of the army department quickly replied: "Whenever you please, sir."

After the regiment returned to the camp Col. Baldwin issued orders for the men to have liberty until 7 o'clock tonight. The first section will leave at 5 o'clock sharp. Many of the troops went to Potomac Hall, where a fine lunch was given them.

A Times reporter had a chat with Col. Baldwin, but the old veteran would say anything about himself. He spoke in words of highest praise of his regiment and said: "This is my regiment and its the finest in the army. It proved that at Santiago and it deserves all praise for its gallant conduct there. I was proud to lead such a command."

The colonel was reminded that he had been promoted to brigadier general. He said: "I have heard a rumor to that effect, but I don't know whether it's true or not. I want to be with my regiment."

He chatted at some length about his officers, and spoke of the wounding of his adjutant, First Lieut. Malvern Hill Barnum, at Santiago, while gallantly discharging his duty. The colonel's son, T. A. Baldwin, Jr., enlisted in Troop G, when the sergeant was ordered to the front. He was shot through the body and arm, and has since been commissioned second lieutenant in the Twenty-fourth Infantry.

Col. Baldwin said the regiment lost at Santiago eleven officers and 20 per cent of the enlisted men. Its present strength is about 300.

Colonel Baldwin. Shortly after the outbreak of the civil war he enlisted in the Nineteenth United States Infantry. That was in May, 1862, and he

FIRE IN ATLANTIC CITY

A Disastrous Blaze at the Well-Known Resort.

MANY BUILDINGS BURNED

Fears for a Time That the Entire Place Would Be Destroyed—Telephone Messages Bring Engines From Camden—Damage to the Famous Board Walk.

Atlantic City, N. J., Oct. 8.—This city was visited this morning by a \$50,000 conflagration that ate a big hole in the famous board walk in the immediate vicinity of Young's wooden pier. The ornate front of this pier was on fire at one time, and it was saved from doom only that there was no wind, save from the flames themselves.

It was the most disastrous fire that has visited this popular seaside resort in years. The burned section extends from South Carolina to Tennessee avenues, and a territory some 200 feet or more in the rear. The leading buildings burned were:

Kipple & McCann's hot bath establishment, Whilden Moore's brick-and-brace and art emporium, Freysinger's art and bric-a-brac, Fred Schwab's rathskeller, Young's art emporium, now known as Parker's; the Palace carousal, Cuthbert's bath house, Richard's bath house, Cassidy's confectionery, Dewey and Hobson's Hotels.

The first alarm was turned in at twenty minutes to 6 o'clock. Those who were on the ground first declare that the flames originated in the boiler room back of Whilden Moore's art emporium, adjoining Kipple & McCann's hot baths on the north. This boiler, it appears, supplied the hot sea water for the baths.

It is said that the presence of fire was detected throughout the night and that residents in the immediate vicinity "smelled smoke" for hours before the flames burst forth.

Suddenly Kipple & McCann's big frame establishment burst into flames. The first fire company to get into service was the Beach Pirates, with their chemical apparatus. The flames spread so quickly that Kipple & McCann's was wholly in their embrace before the local firemen got to work.

Alarm soon became general and many feared that the entire city would be burned. Telephone messages were sent to Philadelphia for assistance. The mayor of this city personally sent a request to the head of the Philadelphia fire department asking for the use of as many engines as he could get.

As a result two engines, two hose carts and one truck were soon here on a special train from Camden. From Kipple and McCann's the fire ate a path north toward the inlet, south toward Chelsea, and west toward Pacific Avenue. Whilden Moore, in whose art emporium the fire is alleged to have started, and adjoining Kipple and McCann's had a valuable stock of imported art treasures, much of which was destroyed. The new Palace carousal, valued at \$10,000, was also destroyed. This was also a valuable orchestra in Schwab's rathskeller.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

Following are the amounts of some of the principal losses and insurances: Palace Amusement Company, total loss, \$20,000, insurance not known; Frederick Schwab's rathskeller, loss \$5,000, insurance, \$3,000; Victor Freisinger, Bohemian glassware and bric-a-brac, loss on stock, \$5,000, insurance unknown; J. G. Jones, confectionery, loss \$5,000, no insurance; Kipple & McCann's building, loss \$40,000, insurance unknown; Whilden Moore, glassware, total loss on stock, \$5,000; Palace Cycle Company building, loss \$5,000; Casino Merry-go-round, loss \$20,000, insurance, \$10,000; Harry Grant, hotel and restaurant, loss \$2,000, no insurance; Hotel Hobson, loss \$5,000; Beach Villa, loss \$5,000; Hotel Delair, loss \$4,500, insurance unknown; William McCann's cottage, loss \$3,500, insurance \$3,000.

MAXIM DENIES CHARGES.

The Gun Inventor Accused of Committing Bigamy.

New York, Oct. 8.—Hiram S. Maxim, the inventor of the Maxim gun, appeared in the Tombs police court this morning to answer to a charge of bigamy and abandonment brought by a woman who gives her name as Helen Maxim, and who says that she was married to the inventor on June 28, 1878, and that he is married also to a woman of the name of Helen Leighton.

Mr. Maxim seemed willing to explain all matters connected with the case. He said: "This same woman brought the same charge against me fifteen years ago in Philadelphia. She had a house in Sansome Street and we learned certain things about her and she dropped the case. But I am perfectly willing that the case should be decided on its merits."

"She is not my wife. Tell everybody that I say she is not my wife. I first saw her twenty years ago on the Bowery. 'At that time I had a wife and three children. We then lived on Union Street in Brooklyn.'"

Mr. Maxim was arrested last night on a warrant issued by Recorder Brown, of Poughkeepsie.

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

When asked on what ground the woman claimed to be his wife, Mr. Maxim said: "Well, as a matter of fact, it was on account of this woman that my first wife obtained a divorce from me. I never registered her as my wife anywhere. I think she used to register as Mrs. Maxim, but never with my consent. She has been annoying me at intervals for fifteen years. Once she came to my office in London and said to me, 'I was forced to have her put out. I received a letter which she got another woman to write me demanding \$15,000. I refused absolutely to give it to her, and always will refuse.'"

THE CHIPPEWAS FOR WAR

Council of the Indians Develops an Ugly Temper.

BRAVES DISPOSED TO FIGHT

The Engagement on Bear Island Not So Great a Victory for General Bacon as First Appeared—The White Flags Still Flying—Settlers Rushing to the Towns.

St. Paul, Minn., Oct. 8.—The Indian situation has become suddenly more desperate, and Gen. Bacon's command will remain on the scene indefinitely. A council of Chippewas has developed great hatred for the whites, and the Indians are disposed to fight unless they get concessions as to the cutting of pine on the lands in their neighborhood.

They insist that the whites are charging them so much for inspection that they cannot make a living cutting it.

There is something